Integration of Cistromic and Transcriptomic Analyses Identifies Nphs2, Mafb, and Magi2 as Wilms’ Tumor 1 Target Genes in Podocyte Differentiation and Maintenance
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ABSTRACT

The Wilms’ tumor suppressor gene 1 (WT1) encodes a zinc finger transcription factor. Mutation of WT1 in humans leads to Wilms’ tumor, a pediatric kidney tumor, or other kidney diseases, such as Denys–Drash and Frasier syndromes. We showed previously that inactivation of WT1 in podocytes of adult mice results in proteinuria, foot process effacement, and glomerulosclerosis. However, the WT1-dependent transcriptional network regulating podocyte development and maintenance in vivo remains unknown. Here, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by high-throughput sequencing with glomeruli from wild-type mice. Additionally, we performed a cDNA microarray screen on an inducible podocyte–specific WT1 knockout mouse model. By integration of cistromic and transcriptomic analyses, we identified the WT1 targetome in mature podocytes. To further analyze the function and targets of WT1 in podocyte maturation, we used an Nphs2-Cre model, in which WT1 is deleted during podocyte differentiation. These mice display anuria and kidney hemorrhage and die within 24 hours after birth. To address the evolutionary conservation of WT1 targets, we performed functional assays using zebrafish as a model and identified Nphs2, Mafb, and Magi2 as novel WT1 target genes required for podocyte development. Our data also show that both Mafb and Magi2 are required for normal development of the embryonic zebrafish kidney. Collectively, our work provides insights into the transcriptional networks controlled by WT1 and identifies novel WT1 target genes that mediate the function of WT1 in podocyte differentiation and maintenance.


The essential function of the kidney is to filter blood and maintain fluid homeostasis in the body. The podocyte, which surrounds blood capillaries with interdigitating foot processes in Bowman’s capsule, is an important component of the filtration apparatus. A specialized intercellular junction called the slit diaphragm is formed between neighboring foot processes to prevent macromolecules from diffusing into the urinary space.1 Podocytes arise from epithelial precursors during different stages of kidney development, namely the renal vesicle, the S-shaped body, the capillary loop, and the maturing glomeruli stages. Podocytes start to differentiate and establish their intricate architecture, including foot processes and slit diaphragms, from the capillary...
Podocytes are terminally differentiated cells with limited capability to proliferate, and podocyte injury or loss is a hallmark of progressive kidney disease. Mutations in genes encoding podocyte differentiation markers, such as Nephrin, Podocin, and PLCε1, give rise to early onset proteinuria and kidney failure.

The Wilms’ tumor suppressor 1 (WT1) was shown to be mutated in Wilms’ tumor, the most common solid pediatric kidney tumor. WT1 mutations are present in approximately 20% of patients with sporadic Wilms’ tumor. Mutations in WT1 have also been shown to occur in several human diseases, such as WAGR, Denys–Drash, and Frasier syndromes which all show glomerular defects, suggesting that the gene has important roles in glomerular development and podocyte function.

WT1 is expressed during different stages of the developing kidney. WT1 expression levels rise significantly as kidney development proceeds, are highest at the capillary stage, and are restricted to podocytes in the adult. WT1 inactivation in the mouse results in embryonic lethality along with agenesis of kidneys and gonads.

WT1 encodes a transcription factor with an amino-terminal proline- and glutamine-rich protein interaction domain and four carboxy-terminal Krüppel-type (Cys2-His2) zinc fingers. WT1 comprises 10 exons and encodes at least 36 isoforms resulting from alternative splicing, usage of alternative translation start sites, and RNA editing. The most prominent alternative splicing occurs at two splice donor sites of exon 9, resulting in inclusion or exclusion of the three amino acids lysine, threonine, and serine (KTS) between zinc fingers 3 and 4. The corresponding proteins are named WT1+KTS or WT1−KTS, with the latter being considered as a bona fide transcription factor. In contrast, WT1+KTS isoforms preferentially bind RNA. To address the requirement of WT1 at different stages of kidney development and maturation, we generated a conditional WT1 allele. Podocyte-specific knockout of WT1 in adult mice results in proteinuria, foot process effacement, and FSGS. However, the molecular role of WT1 in podocyte differentiation and maintenance is largely unknown. Here, we have identified the WT1 direct targetome in podocytes as well as WT1 target genes that are required for podocyte differentiation and homeostasis.

RESULTS

Genomic Characterization of WT1 Targets in Adult Kidney

To identify DNA-binding sites of WT1 across the genome of podocytes, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP-Seq) from isolated mouse glomeruli. We performed two independent ChIP-Seq experiments and obtained a minimum of 10 million reads per sample (Supplemental Table 1). Significantly enriched WT1-occupied peaks were analyzed by the model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq algorithm. Overall, in the two experiments, we identified 4335 and 4956 significantly enriched regions by the cutoff of P<0.001. Among those, 2257 peaks were identical in both ChIP-Seq experiments (Figure 1A); 69.3% of WT1 binding sites localized in the promoter and transcribed regions (Figure 1B). WT1 binding sites were significantly enriched in the proximity of transcription start site (TSS) and promoter regions (within 1 kb from TSS [14.3%; P<0.001]) (Figure 1, C and D). However, 50% of the mapped WT1 peaks are at least 50 kb away from the closest TSS (Supplemental Figure 1). These results show that WT1 gene regulation works by both proximal and distal regulatory elements. Next, we sought to identify WT1 binding motifs within the central 200 bp of the WT1-binding regions from the overlapping peaks using the MEME-ChIP algorithm (multiple EM for motif elicitation of large DNA datasets) (P<0.001). We identified the core consensuses sequence GGGAGG as the most highly conserved WT1 binding sequence in the two most enriched motifs (called primary and secondary motifs) (Figure 1E). Interestingly, over 85% of peak fragments analyzed contained both primary and secondary motifs, which tended to occur close to one another (Figure 1F).

To identify potential WT1 target genes in podocytes, we selected those genes that showed WT1 binding sites within their transcribed region, including sequences 3 kb upstream of their annotated TSSs. With these criteria, we identified 1573 protein-coding genes and 61 noncoding genes as potential WT1 target genes (Supplemental Table 2). To interpret the biologic significance of WT1 binding sites in adult podocytes, we used the Cytoscape software, identifying the biologic processes and molecular functions enriched in our dataset (Figure 1G). The most highly enriched function related to actin filament organization, indicating that WT1 regulates expression of cytoskeletal components in podocytes. Interestingly, one of highest ranking clusters is glomerular development, which contains Myo1e, Notch2, Plce1, Ptpro, etc. Thus, the functions of WT1 targets are consistent with the established role of WT1 in podocytes and thus, serve as an indicator for the quality of our dataset.

WT1 Maintains Podocyte Function as both an Activator and a Repressor

To determine the functional consequence of WT1 activity in mature podocytes, we sought to integrate our WT1 target list with gene expression data. We used quadruple transgenic mice (WT1+/Ptpa−/Neph2−/rTAg−LC1), which allow us to delete WT1 in adult kidneys on doxycycline induction. To minimize secondary or tertiary effects, we isolated glomeruli with a magnetic bead perfusion method after 6 days of induction of WT1 deletion. At this time point, the respective knockout mice display slight proteinuria (Supplemental Figure 2). To identify downstream genes of WT1 in podocytes, we performed an exon-array experiment on glomeruli on WT1 deletion. We observed 1753 differentially expressed genes after knockout of WT1 in adult podocytes by using a P value=0.05 as a cutoff (Figure 2A, Supplemental Table 3). These genes were analyzed for their molecular and cellular functions and involved KEGG (Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes) pathways using the bioinformatic tool DAVID (Supplemental Table 4).
analysis identified axon guidance, lysosome, and focal adhesion as the top three categories among the known affected biologic functions.

Integrating our WT1 ChIP-Seq data with our microarray expression dataset, 192 genes were common to both gene lists. Although most of these genes were downregulated in WT1 knockout glomeruli (148), there were also 44 genes that were upregulated. This indicates that WT1 acts as both an activator and a repressor of transcription (Figure 2B). The WT1 binding motif is identical in both instances, and the position of the WT1 binding region relative to the TSS of genes did not show a difference between activated and repressed genes (data not shown). To identify potential cofactors that could modulate the activating or repressive function of WT1, we masked the identified WT1 binding motifs to search for other consensus motifs that were overrepresented in its proximity. We identified enriched motifs for potential WT1 coactivators, including Sox5, Sox7, and some Fox proteins (e.g., FoxP1 and FoxP2) as potential corepressors (Supplemental Figure 3). The intersection of the WT1 targetome that we identified here with genes reported to be specifically expressed in podocytes revealed that WT1 mostly activates podocyte-enriched genes and represses nonpodocyte-enriched genes (72% activation versus 16% repression) (Supplemental Figure 4). Because our data are derived from adult kidneys, they suggest a role for WT1 in defining and maintaining podocyte identity. Consistent with previous reports, we verified WT1 binding to the promoter of known transcriptional target genes of WT1, such as Nphs1 or Sulf1. Expression levels of both genes were significantly reduced in WT1 knockout mice (Supplemental Figure 5).

Bioinformatic analysis of the common gene list revealed the most affected pathways as regulation of cytoskeleton followed by focal adhesion and tight junction (Figure 2C). Examination of the WT1-deleted mouse podocytes displayed widening and flattening of the foot processes as well as interruption of the slit diagram (Figure 2D). The glomerular basement membrane and its charge seemed unaffected by the podocyte-specific inactivation of WT1 as shown in electron microscopic

Figure 1. ChIP-Seq reveals WT1 binding to genes regulating podocyte function. (A) The Venn diagram depicts overlapping peaks of the significantly enriched genomic intervals of two biologic replicates of ChIP-Seq from the adult mouse kidney. (B) The genomic distribution of the WT1 ChIP enrichment signal. Classification is on the basis of the following descriptions. Promoter is within 3 kb upstream of TSS, and intergenic is between annotated genes (excluding promoter regions). (C and D) The ChIP enrichment signal is highest near the TSS and highly localized within 1 kb of TSSs. (E) Primary and secondary WT1 binding motifs identified by MEME from the top 500 overlapping peaks. (F) The number of base pairs between primary and secondary motifs. (G) Gene ontology analysis of WT1 cistrome by defining the peaks localized to promoters or gene-transcribed regions. MEME, multiple Em for motif elicitation.
analyses (Figure 2D). These morphologic changes of WT1 mutant podocytes are, thus, consistent with WT1-controlling genes that are involved in pathways that regulate, for example, the cytoskeleton or cell-cell interaction as mentioned above.

WT1 Is Required for Podocyte Maturation

Human patients with Denys–Drash and Frasier syndromes exhibit glomerular defects caused by mutations in WT1.\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\) This led us to dissect the function of WT1 in maturing podocytes and their maintenance. We and others have shown the requirement of WT1 for podocyte homeostasis.\(^2\)\(^0\)\(^2\)\(^1\)\(^2\) However, the role of WT1 in podocyte maturation remains to be illustrated. Here, we used conditional podocyte-specific WT1 knockout mice (WT1\(^{fl/fl}\)/Nphs2-Cre), in which Cre expression is driven by a fragment of the Nphs2 promoter. Nphs2 is expressed during podocyte maturation after the capillary loop stage.\(^2\)\(^0\) In WT1\(^{fl/fl}\)/Nphs2-Cre mice, WT1 is detected at the capillary loop stage but not in mature glomeruli (Figure 3, A and B). Mutant mice were born at the expected Mendelian ratio but died within 24 hours after birth. Newborn animals suffered from anuria and kidney hemorrhage. Apart from this, the urogenital system displayed no gross abnormalities. On the histologic level, kidneys of WT1\(^{fl/fl}\)/Nphs2-Cre mice were characterized by a significant reduction in the number of mature glomeruli and proximal convoluted tubuli (Figure 3, C and D). Given the effect of podocyte-specific WT1 inactivation on functional kidney architecture, we addressed the question of structural defects regarding podocytes. Indeed, analysis using electron microscopy revealed structural changes in the form of abundant foot process effacement in knockout mice (Figure 3F, arrow). Detachment or loss of podocytes from the vascular tuft, which was detected in histologic analyses, could also be observed in ultrastructural studies (Figure 3H, arrowheads). These data show that WT1 regulates podocyte maturation and that loss of WT1 in podocytes results in failure of the renal filtration system.

WT1 Activates Expression of Nphs2, Mafb, and Magi2 in Podocytes

To characterize the genes that mediate the function of WT1 in podocytes, we first sorted the genes by ChIP peaks localized in the promoter (\(\approx 1500\) bp from TSS) according to foldness of enrichment and only considered peaks with an enrichment of \(\geq 20\)-fold. Then, using our microarray analysis, only genes that were downregulated on WT1 knockout (and therefore, normally activated by WT1) were used. Of those genes, we selected three for additional analysis; Nphs2, MafB, and Magi2. WT1 binds to a consensus motif in the promoter region of all of the three genes (Figure 4, A–C). Mutation of NPHS2 in humans causes nephrotic syndrome.\(^5\)
Mafb encodes a transcription factor that is expressed at the capillary loop stage and restricted to podocytes as shown in the GUDMAP database.\textsuperscript{31} Mafb has been shown to be required for podocyte development in mice.\textsuperscript{32,33} Magi2 is a component of the slit diaphragm complex and might have a function for the glomerular filtration barrier.\textsuperscript{34} Indeed, Magi2 null mice display morphologic abnormalities of podocytes and anuria.\textsuperscript{35} Inactivation of WT1 resulted in loss of Podocin, Mafb, and Magi2 in podocytes (Figure 4, D–L).

### Nphs2, mafb, and magi2 Are Conserved Functional Target Genes of WT1 in Zebrafish

To address the evolutionary conservation of WT1 targets \textit{in vivo}, we turned to zebrafish, which have been frequently used to study podocyte formation during kidney development.\textsuperscript{36} Bioinformatic analysis identified single Nphs2 and Magi2 genes and two orthologs for Mafb in the zebrafish genome. Nphs2,\textsuperscript{37} mafb,\textsuperscript{38} and magi2 were found to be expressed in the glomerular region of the embryonic zebrafish kidney: the pronephros (Figure 5, A–C). Mafbb expression was not detectable in this region (Supplemental Figure 6). To determine whether regulation by WT1 was conserved, we examined nphs2, mafb, and magi2 activity after wt1a and wt1b knockdown. Expression levels of nphs2, mafb, and magi2 were significantly reduced or absent in both morphants (Figure 5, D–I). This finding encouraged us to study the function of nphs2, mafb, and magi2 by knockdown of the respective genes. The injection of

---

\textbf{Figure 3.} WT1 is required for podocyte differentiation. (A and B) Immunohistochemistry of E18.5 kidney sections using an antibody against WT1 (red) shows disappearance of WT1 signal in glomeruli of mutant mice (dotted lines) and the WT1\textsuperscript{floxflo} mouse as a control. (C and D) Histologic analysis of sagittal kidney sections from newborn (P0) control and WT1\textsuperscript{floxflo};Nphs2-Cre mice stained with periodic acid–Schiff (PAS). In kidneys of mutant mice, the numbers of mature glomeruli and proximal convoluted tubuli were strongly reduced. Remaining glomeruli were smaller (arrow). The developmental zone or outer renal cortex was without obvious pathologic findings. (E–H) Ultrastructural kidney analysis from the inner cortex of newborn WT1\textsuperscript{floxflo};Nphs2-Cre and control mice. (E) Electron microscopy of a P0 WT1\textsuperscript{floxflo};Nphs2-Cre control kidney section showing single glomerulus (GL) and convoluted proximal tubulus (PT) of normal appearance. (G) High magnification identifies podocytes (P) with abundant foot processes (arrow). (F) Section of a P0 WT1\textsuperscript{floxflo};Nphs2-Cre kidney depicting a glomerulus with one capillary vessel deprived of surrounding podocytes (arrow). Proteinaceous material (asterisks) was found in Bowman’s space and neighboring tubuli. (H) High magnification of F reveals widespread foot process effacement (arrowheads). Scale bar, 25 \(\mu\)m in A, B, E, and F; 2 \(\mu\)m in G and H.
antisense morpholinos against nphs2,37 mafb, and magi2 resulted in severe pericardial edema (Figure 5, K–M), which is similar to what is seen in wt1α/β morphants.39 To visualize potential glomerular defects in nphs2, mafb, and magi2 knockdown zebrafish embryos, we extended our experiments to the transgenic zebrafish line wt1b::GFP, which expresses GFP in the developing glomerulus and pronephric tubule.39 At 48 hours postfertilization, the nphs2, mafb, and magi2

Figure 4. WT1 binds to the promoters and activates expression of Nphs2, Mafb, and Magi2 in podocytes. (A–C) Binding of WT1 to the promoters of Nphs2, Mafb, and Magi2 as viewed in the University of California-Santa Cruz genome browser within the indicated genomic intervals. ChIP fragment sequences on DNA are shown in red and blue for the two independent WT1 ChIP-Seq datasets. Regions with overlaps are depicted in black. The peaks in the Nphs2, Mafb, and Magi2 loci are shown by yellow bars. Input control represents sequencing data of chromatin that were not subjected to immunoprecipitation. Conservation denotes placental mammal basewise conservation by PhastCons score. (D–L) Double immunostaining for WT1 and Podocin, Mafb, and Magi2 in glomeruli of (upper panel) WT1flox/flox or (lower panel) WT1flox/flox, Nphs2-Cre mice. Scale bar, 10 μm.
morphants displayed significant pronephros defects, which were visible as glomerular cysts (Figure 5, N–U). The strong effects observed after knockdown of \textit{nphs2}, \textit{mafba}, and \textit{magi2} in developing zebrafish embryos support the notion that, like Podocin, Mafb and Magi2 are regulators of pronephros development in zebrafish. Moreover, loss of expression of all three genes in \textit{wt1a} and \textit{wt1b} morphants shows that \textit{Nphs2}, \textit{Mafb}, and \textit{Magi2} are conserved target genes of WT1 in podocytes.

**DISCUSSION**

Several human glomerular diseases are caused by \textit{WT1} mutations, including missense mutation within exon 8 or 9 encoding zinc fingers 2 or 3 in children with Denys–Drash syndrome or alterations of alternative splicing of \textit{WT1+KTS} and \textit{WT1−KTS} leading to Frasier syndrome. Both are characterized by nephrotic syndrome associated with glomerulosclerosis.\textsuperscript{13,14} The latter is
the end point of a series of processes with podocyte damage or loss as a common denominator.\textsuperscript{30} We and others had shown that deletion of WT1 in adult podocytes results in proteinuria, foot process effacement, and glomerulosclerosis.\textsuperscript{20,41} However, the WT1-dependent transcriptional network that governs podocyte differentiation and maintenance remains to be deciphered. In this study, we integrated the WT1 cistrome and transcriptome to provide insight into the complex role of WT1 in podocyte maintenance. In addition, we analyzed the function of WT1 in podocyte differentiation by using a podocyte-specific knockout mouse model. Experiments in zebrafish confirmed the role of selected WT1 target genes in kidney development.

Our ChIP-Seq data analysis revealed WT1 primary and secondary motifs to harbor a core GGGAGG sequence that shows high similarity to previously published motifs that had been identified using ChIP on chip or restriction enzyme assistant ChIP-Seq experiments on the developing mouse kidney at E18.5.\textsuperscript{42,43} The most striking difference is that we found two similar motifs close to each other in many peak fragments. This suggests that two or more WT1 molecules bind to the same promoter fragment or that WT1 binds as a dimer. In fact, there is evidence that WT1 functions as a homodimer.\textsuperscript{44} Dimerization is mediated by two distinct domains within the N-terminal region.\textsuperscript{45} Our data also show that, in many cases, binding sites for members of the Sox and Fox families can be found close to WT1 binding sites, showing that WT1 acts in concert with other transcription factors.

Visceral epithelial cells (podocytes) are derived from the cap mesenchyme, wherein WT1 is expressed at low levels. WT1 expression increases in pretubular aggregates and is thought to drive cells to undergo the mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition by activating Wnt4.\textsuperscript{46} WT1 expression continues to increase along with development of podocyte progenitors and is later restricted to adult podocytes.\textsuperscript{15} The integrity of podocytes and their interaction with the glomerular basement membrane are crucial for maintenance of the intact glomerular filtration barrier. Alteration of the intercellular junctions and cytoskeletal structure of podocytes or their detachment from the membrane results in the development of albuminuria.\textsuperscript{47} Interestingly, WT1 direct target genes in podocytes were enriched for regulation of actin cytoskeleton, focal adhesion, tight junction, and adherens junction, indicating that WT1 is a central regulator of podocyte architecture. This is corroborated by the podocyte-specific WT1 knockout mouse model that we used, where the loss of WT1 affected podocyte differentiation and led to kidney failure and death within 24 hours after birth.

In this study, we focused on the characterization of the WT1 targets Nphs2, Mafb, and Magi2. Podocin is a key protein of the podocyte slit diaphragm protein complex, where it interacts with nephrin, NEPH1, and CD2AP.\textsuperscript{48,49} Mutations in the human podocin gene NPHS2 cause a corticosteroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome owing to the disruption of filtration barrier integrity.\textsuperscript{5} Podocin function is highly conserved between the zebrafish pronephros and the mammalian metanephros.\textsuperscript{37} Mafb, a basic leucine zipper transcription factor, is essential for podocyte differentiation and foot process formation, which was suggested by investigation of Mafb-deficient mice.\textsuperscript{32,33} A recent study revealed that mutations causing alterations in the amino-terminal transcriptional activation domain of MAFB cause multicentric carpotalar osteolysis (MCTO) characterized by aggressive osteolysis particularly affecting the carpal and tarsal bones. Also, MCTO is frequently associated with progressive renal failure. The pathogenesis of MCTO might be attributed to MAFB dysfunction in osteoclasts and podocytes.\textsuperscript{50} Mafb, an ortholog in zebrafish, is expressed during early stages of podocyte formation.\textsuperscript{58} In this work, we show that mafb is required for podocyte development in zebrafish.

Magi2, encoding the membrane-associated guanylate kinase inverted 2, is upregulated on foot process differentiation and consistently expressed in adult podocytes.\textsuperscript{34,51} Magi2, together with IQGAP1, CASK, spectrins, and α-actinin, is a component of the nephrin multiprotein complex.\textsuperscript{34} A recent study has shown that deletion of the Magi2 gene in mice causes neonatal lethality with podocyte morphologic abnormalities and anuria.\textsuperscript{35} We show here that magi2 is also expressed in podocytes of zebrafish. Knockdown of magi2 in zebrafish results in glomerular defects and epicardial edema. In addition, WT1 targets include most genes responsible for hereditary podocyte diseases, such as NPHS1, LAMB2, LMX1b, and even WT1 itself (Supplemental Table 5).

In summary, our results show that WT1 is a crucial transcription factor for podocyte maturation and maintenance. It fulfills its function by regulation of a number of key target genes, including Nphs2, Mafb, and Magi2. Future work will have to identify the individual contributions of each target gene (e.g., by forcing its expression in WT1-negative podocytes in vivo).

**Concise Methods**

**Generation of the Mutant Mice**

The inducible podocyte–specific WT1 knockout mouse line (WT1\textsuperscript{fl/fl}; Nphs2-rtTA;LC1) was generated as described.\textsuperscript{20} To inactivate WT1, mice were fed with 2 mg/ml doxycycline in a 5% sucrose solution. The conditional podocyte–specific WT1 knockout mouse line (WT1\textsuperscript{fl/fl}; Nphs2-Cre) was generated by crossing WT1fllox mice to the transgenic Nphs2-Cre mice.\textsuperscript{52} All animal experiments were performed in accordance with institutional guidelines and ethical review committees.

**ChIP-Seq**

Briefly, glomeruli were isolated from 2- to 3-month-old C57BL/6 mice using the sieving method.\textsuperscript{53} Glomeruli were fixed with 1% formaldehyde for 15 minutes at 37°C, washed with 125 mM glycine, resuspended in ChIP buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, 0.1% SDS, and 1.0% Triton X-100) containing protease inhibitors (Roche), and sonicated with the Bioruptor for 30 minutes (30 seconds on and 30 seconds off). WT1 immunoprecipitation was performed as described\textsuperscript{54} using the C-19 antibody.
(Santa Cruz Biotechnology). DNA was eluted with the Qiagen MinElute PCR Purification Kit. Templates for ChIP-Seq analysis were prepared using the TrueSeq ChIP Sample Prep Kit (Illumina) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq2000 platform, and at least 10 million reads of 50-nucleotide single-end sequences were generated for each sample. The sequences were mapped to the mouse genome (version mm10), allowing two base mismatches. Peak calling was carried out using model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq. Peaks were considered identical when they showed an overlap of at least 6 bp. WT1 binding motif was carried out using MEME.22

Microarray
Two sets of six 2- to 3-month-old mice with each of the following genotypes (WT1<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Nphs2<sup>-rtTA</sup>;LC1<sup>-1</sup> and WT1<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Nphs2-<sup>-rtTA</sup>) were treated for 6 days with doxycycline in the drinking water. Subsequently, glomeruli were extracted using magnetic bead perfusion.56

Transmission Electron Microscopy
Newborn (P0) mice were euthanized by decapitation, and kidneys were harvested, washed in 1 X PBS, fixed in a freshly prepared solution of 2% glutaraldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) in 1 X PBS for 2 d at 4°C, and conserved for 2–4 d in 1 X PBS-buffered 0.02% sodium azide (Merck GmbH) at 4°C. Adult mice were deeply anesthetized with xylazine/ketamine and perfused with 40 ml freshly prepared 2% glutaraldehyde/1% osmium tetroxide (Merck). Ultrathin sections were photographed using a stereomicroscope (SteREO Discovery V8; Carl Zeiss). The resulting RNA was isolated from the glomeruli using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). The tissue was treated with 0.016% tricaine (Sigma) before being evaluated for morphologic criteria.59

Whole-Mount In Situ Hybridization
The cdna fragment, which was used for the riboprobe of zebrafish <i>mafb</i>, was amplified with primer pair 5′-CCACGAGCGACAACC-CGTCCT-3′ (forward) and 5′-GTCCCCTGTCGCTCTCCTC-3′ (reverse), giving rise to a 510-bp PCR product. The zebrafish <i>magl2</i> cdna fragment was amplified with primers 5′-CCCGGAAGAATG-CAGGAA-3′ (forward) and 5′-AAGACAACTGTCCTCCT-3′ (reverse), giving rise to a 1014-bp PCR product. The <i>nphs2</i> probe was used as previously described.39 Whole-mount <i>in situ</i> hybridization was performed as described.39 Embryos were hybridized with a digoxigenin-labeled riboprobe of <i>nphs2</i>, <i>mafb</i>, or <i>magl2</i>. Anti-DIG AP and NBT/BCIP (Roche) were used to detect the probe. After color reaction, embryos were washed with methanol, equilibrated in clearing solution (1/3 benzoyl-alcohol and 2/3 benzoyl-benzoate), and photographed using a stereomicroscope (SteREO Discovery V8; Zeiss).

Zebrafish Experiments
Antisense morpholino-oligonucleotides (GeneTools) were directed against the translational start site (<i>mafb</i> and <i>magl2</i>) or the splice donor site of exon 3 (<i>nphs2</i>). As a control, a standard morpholino that is directing against a human <i>β-globin</i> intron mutation was used. Morpholinos were diluted in water to a working concentration of 1 mM with 0.1% phenol red as a tracer. Dose-response experiments were carried out by injections of 0.1–0.5 nl into the yolk of one- or two-cell embryos using a manual manipulator (type M1; Saur). The following morpholino oligonucleotides were used in this study: <i>nphs2</i>, TGCGATTAAACAGTG-TACAGGGG; <i>mafb</i>, CCGCTATCGTGCCCCGTTCTTCAG; <i>magl2</i>, TTTTCTTCAGGCCAGTTTTGACAT; and <i>wt1a</i> and <i>wtlb</i> as described26; 24, 48, and 72 hours postfertilization, injected embryos were treated with 0.016% tricaine (Sigma) before being evaluated for morphologic defects using the epifluorescence microscope Zeiss SteREO Discovery V8. To determine the lowest morpholino concentration required to induce morphologic defects, injections with increasing morpholino dosage were performed. Images were generated using the AxioVision software (Carl Zeiss).
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